

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/654,177	Applicant(s) JALALI ET AL.	
	Examiner Jean B. Corrielus	Art Unit 2611	

All Participants:

(1) Jean B. Corrielus.

(2) Larry Moskowitz.

Status of Application: _____

(3) Anatoly S Weiser.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 3/28/06 & 3/29/06

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-8, 15, 17-18, 21 and 22

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 3-30-06
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: applicant's rep. agrees to amend claims 15, 21 and 22 as indicated in the attached examiner's amendment. In addition, applicant's rep. agrees to cancel claims non-elected claims 1-8 and and claims 17 and 18. The allowability of claims 17 and 18 was withdrawn because such claims read on newly found reference to Simonsson (US Patent No. 6,950,669) see for instance fig. 5. Such amendment is necessary in order to place the application in better form for allowance.